arXiv:1003.0832v1 [math.QA] 3 Mar 2010

Geometric Crystals on Flag Varieties and Unipotent Subgroups of Classical Groups

Mana IGARASHI and Toshiki NAKASHIMA

ABSTRACT. For a classical simple algebraic group G we obtain the affirmative answer for the conjecture in [**8**] that there exists an isomorphism between the geometric crystal on the flag variety and the one on the unipotent subgroup U^-.

1. Introduction

The theory of geometric crystal for semi-simple case has been introduced in [**1**] as an geometric analogue of Kashiwara's crystal theory. In [**7**] it has been extended to Kac-Moody setting and the geometric crystals on Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w$ has been introduced therein, where w is a Weyl group element. In [**8**] we constructed geometric crystals on the unipotent radical $U^- \subset B^-$ of a semi-simple algebraic group G, where B^- is an opposite Borel subgroup and showed that in the case $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ it is isomorphic to the geometric crystal on the flag variety $X = \overline{X}_{w_0}$ where w_0 is the longest element in the corresponding Weyl group. In [**8**] we conjectured that for any semi-simple case there exists such isomorphism and in this article we obtained the isomorphism between U^- and the flag variety for classical simple algebraic groups.

Here we explain more details. Let $B^{\pm} \subset G$ be the Borel subgroups and $U^{\pm}$ their unipotent radicals. As mentioned above, in [**7**] we constructed geometric crystals on Schubert varieties, whose dimension is finite. Nevertheless, we can not apply the method in [**7**] to the full flag variety since it is infinite dimensional for general Kac-Moody cases. Thus, we considered alternative way to obtain geometric crystal structure on the opposite unipotent radical $U^- \subset B^-$ which is birationally isomorphic to the full flag variety $X = G/B$.

A variety V is called a unipotent crystal if it has a rational U-action and there exists a rational map from V to the opposite Borel subgroup B^- commuting with the U-action, where B^- is equipped with the canonical rational U-action by:

$$U \times B^- \overset{m}{\hookrightarrow} G \xrightarrow{\sim} B^- \times U \xrightarrow{proj} B^-.$$

One of the most crucial properties of unipotent crystals is that certain geometric crystal is induced from a unipotent crystal canonically (see 2.3).

We introduce a criterion for the existence of unipotent crystal on U^- in [**8**, Lemma 3.2] (see also Lemma 4.2 below.), which is applicable to general Kac-Moody cases though it is applied to only simple cases in this article. Let us explain the criterion more precisely. To obtain the

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 17B67,46E25, 20C20.

Key words and phrases. Geometric crystal, unipotent crystal, flag variety, fundamental representation, bilinear form.

The author was supported in part by JSPS Grants in Aid for Scientific Research #19540050.

unipotent crystal structure on U^-, it is required to get certain rational map $\mathcal{T}:U^-\to T$ with the properties: for $x\in U$ and $u\in U^-$

$$\mathcal{T}(\pi^{--}(xu))=\pi^0(xu)\mathcal{T}(u).$$

Then defining a morphism $\mathcal{F}:U^-\to B^-$ by $\mathcal{F}(u):=u\mathcal{T}(u)$, $\mathcal{F}$ becomes a U-morphism and we obtain the unipotent crystal structure on U^-. To realize the above $\mathcal{T}$ in this article we construct rational functions $\{F_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1,\cdots,n}$ on U^-, each of which is defined as a matrix element in the fundamental representation $L(\Lambda_i)$ and possesses some special properties, where Λ_i is the i-th fundamental weight of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Using this rational functions $F_i^{(n)}$, we define $\mathcal{T}(u)=\prod_i\alpha_i^\vee(F_i^{(n)}(u)^{-1})$, which satisfies the criterion (see Sect.4.) and then we have a unipotent crystal and the induced geometric crystal on U^-.

The crucial task in this paper is writing down the explicit forms of the function $F_i^{(n)}$ ($i=1,\cdots,n$). Then, using them we can check that there exists an isomorphism between geometric crystal on the flag variety X and the one on U^- for the types A_n, B_n, C_n and D_n.

Since in [**8**] we have made several typographical errors in the proof of Lemma 3.2 and modified the definition of the function $F_i^{(n)}$, we shall give the proof of Lemma 4.2 in this article and introduce the bilinear form on irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$ module in order to redefine $F_i^{(n)}$.

In the last section, we give a conjecture that for all semi-simple cases there would exist an isomorphism of geometric crystals between a Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w$ and U_ι^- which is a dense subset in U^- associated with a reduced word ι of w. Indeed, the result in this paper would be a part of this conjecture for the case $w=w_0$ the longest element.

Though our method constructing unipotent crystal structure on U^- is valid for arbitrary Kac-Moody setting, in this article we only treated simple cases. Our further aim is to apply this to affine Kac-Moody cases, more details, to find certain good functions like $F_i^{(n)}$'s for affine cases, which would be expected to be interesting and important from the view point of representation theory of affine Kac-Moody algebras.

2. Geometric Crystals and Unipotent Crystals

The notations and definitions here follow [**2, 3, 5, 4, 7, 8**].

2.1. Geometric Crystals.

Fix a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix $A=(\mathbf{a}_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$, where I is a finite index set. Let $(\mathfrak{t},\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I},\{h_i\}_{i\in I})$ be the associated root data, where $\mathfrak{t}$ is the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with dimension $|I|+$ corank(A), and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}\subset\mathfrak{t}^*$ and $\{h_i\}_{i\in I}\subset\mathfrak{t}$ are linearly independent indexed sets satisfying $\alpha_j(h_i)=\mathbf{a}_{ij}$.

The Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}(A)$ associated with A is the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by $\mathfrak{t}$, the Chevalley generators e_i and f_i ($i\in I$) with the usual defining relations ([**5**],[**6**]). Note that if A is a Cartan matrix, the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semi-simple complex Lie algebra. There is the root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{t}^*}\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Denote the set of roots by $\Delta:=\{\alpha\in\mathfrak{t}^*|\alpha\neq 0,\ \mathfrak{g}_\alpha\neq(0)\}$. Set $Q=\sum_i\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$, $Q_+=\sum_i\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$ and $\Delta_+:=\Delta\cap Q_+$. An element of Δ_+ is called a positive root. Define simple reflections $s_i\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{t})$ ($i\in I$) by $s_i(h):=h-\alpha_i(h)h_i$, which generate the Weyl group W. We also define the action of W on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ by $s_i(\lambda):=\lambda-\lambda(h_i)\alpha_i$. Set $\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}:=\{w(\alpha_i)|w\in W,\ i\in I\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}'$ be the derived Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and G the Kac-Moody group associated with $\mathfrak{g}'$([**6**]). Let $U_\alpha:=\exp\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ($\alpha\in\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$) be an one-parameter subgroup of G. The group G is generated by U_α ($\alpha\in\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$). Let $U^\pm$ be the subgroups generated by $U_{\pm\alpha}$ ($\alpha\in\Delta_+^{\mathrm{re}}=\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}\cap Q_+$), *i.e.,* $U^\pm:=\langle U_{\pm\alpha}|\alpha\in\Delta_+^{\mathrm{re}}\rangle$.

For any $i \in I$, there exists a unique homomorphism; $\phi_i : SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \to G$ such that

$$\phi_i\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)\right) = \exp te_i,\ \phi_i\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{array}\right)\right) = \exp tf_i \ (t \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Set $x_i(t) := \exp te_i$, $y_i(t) := \exp tf_i$, $T_i := \phi_i(\{\mathrm{diag}(t, t^{-1}) | t \in \mathbb{C}\})$ and $N_i := N_{G_i}(T_i)$. Let T (resp. N) be the subgroup of G generated by T_i (resp. N_i), which is called a *maximal torus* in G and $B^{\pm} = U^{\pm}T$ be the Borel subgroup of G. We have the isomorphism $\phi : W \xrightarrow{\sim} N/T$ defined by $\phi(s_i) = N_iT/T$. An element $\overline{s}_i := x_i(-1)y_i(1)x_i(-1)$ is in $N_G(T)$, which is a representative of $s_i \in W = N_G(T)/T$.

Definition 2.1. Let X be an ind-variety over $\mathbb{C}$, γ_i and ε_i $(i \in I)$ rational functions on X, and $e_i : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times X \to X$ a rational $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$-action. A quadruple $(X, \{e_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\gamma_i,\}_{i\in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i\in I})$ is a G (or $\mathfrak{g}$)-*geometric crystal* if

(i) $(\{1\} \times X) \cap dom(e_i)$ is open dense in $\{1\} \times X$ for any $i \in I$, where $dom(e_i)$ is the domain of definition of $e_i \colon \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times X \to X$.

(ii) The rational functions $\{\gamma_i\}_{i\in I}$ satisfy $\gamma_j(e_i^c(x)) = c^{\mathbf{a}_{ij}}\gamma_j(x)$ for any $i, j \in I$.

(iii) e_i and e_j satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} e_i^{c_1}e_j^{c_2} = e_j^{c_2}e_i^{c_1} & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_{ij} = \mathbf{a}_{ji} = 0, \\ e_i^{c_1}e_j^{c_1c_2}e_i^{c_2} = e_j^{c_2}e_i^{c_1c_2}e_j^{c_1} & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_{ij} = \mathbf{a}_{ji} = -1, \\ e_i^{c_1}e_j^{c_1^2c_2}e_i^{c_1c_2}e_j^{c_2} = e_j^{c_2}e_i^{c_1c_2}e_j^{c_1^2c_2}e_i^{c_1} & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_{ij} = -2,\ \mathbf{a}_{ji} = -1, \\ e_i^{c_1}e_j^{c_1^3c_2}e_i^{c_1^2c_2}e_j^{c_1^3c_2^2}e_i^{c_1c_2}e_j^{c_2} = e_j^{c_2}e_i^{c_1c_2}e_j^{c_1^3c_2^2}e_i^{c_1^2c_2}e_j^{c_1^3c_2}e_i^{c_1} & \text{if } \mathbf{a}_{ij} = -3,\ \mathbf{a}_{ji} = -1, \end{array}$$

(iv) The rational functions $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i\in I}$ satisfy $\varepsilon_i(e_i^c(x)) = c^{-1}\varepsilon_i(x)$ and $\varepsilon_i(e_j^c(x)) = \varepsilon_i(x)$ if $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i} = 0$.

The relations in (iii) is called *Verma relations*. If $\chi = (X, \{e_i\}, \{\gamma_i\}, \{\varepsilon_i\})$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iv), we call χ a *pre-geometric crystal*.

Remark. The last condition (iv) is slightly modified from [**3, 7, 8, 9, 10**] since all ε_i appearing in these references satisfy the new condition and this condition is required to define "epsilon systems" ([**11**]).

2.2. Unipotent Crystals. In the sequel, we denote the unipotent subgroup U^+ by U. We define unipotent crystals (see [**1**],[**7**]) associated to Kac-Moody groups.

Definition 2.2. Let X be an ind-variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha : U \times X \to X$ a rational U-action such that α is defined on $\{e\} \times X$. Then, the pair $\mathbf{X} = (X, \alpha)$ is called a *U-variety.* For U-varieties $\mathbf{X} = (X, \alpha_X)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \alpha_Y)$, a rational map $f : X \to Y$ is called a *U-morphism* if it commutes with the action of U.

Now, we define a U-variety structure on $B^- = U^-T$. As in [**4**], the Borel subgroup B^- is an ind-subgroup of G and hence an ind-variety over $\mathbb{C}$. The multiplication map in G induces the open embedding; $B^- \times U \hookrightarrow G$, which is a birational map. Let us denote the inverse birational map by $g : G \longrightarrow B^- \times U$. Then we define the rational maps $\pi^- : G \to B^-$ and $\pi : G \to U$ by $\pi^- := \mathrm{proj}_{B^-} \circ g$ and $\pi := \mathrm{proj}_U \circ g$. Now we define the rational U-action α_{B^-} on B^- by

$$\alpha_{B^-} := \pi^- \circ m : U \times B^- \longrightarrow B^-,$$

where m is the multiplication map in G. Then we get U-variety $\mathbf{B}^- = (B^-, \alpha_{B^-})$.

Definition 2.3. (i) Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \alpha)$ be a U-variety and $f : X \to \mathbf{B}^-$ a U-morphism. The pair $(\mathbf{X}, f)$ is called a *unipotent G-crystal* or, for short, *unipotent crystal.*

(ii) Let $(\mathbf{X}, f_X)$ and $(\mathbf{Y}, f_Y)$ be unipotent crystals. A U-morphism $g : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ is called a *morphism of unipotent crystals* if $f_X = f_Y \circ g$. In particular, if g is a birational map of ind-varieties, it is called an *isomorphism of unipotent crystals.*

We define a product of unipotent crystals following [**1**]. For unipotent crystals $(\mathbf{X}, f_X)$, $(\mathbf{Y}, f_Y)$, define a morphism $\alpha_{X\times Y} : U \times X \times Y \to X \times Y$ by

$$\alpha_{X\times Y}(u,x,y) := (\alpha_X(u,x), \alpha_Y(\pi(u\cdot f_X(x)), y)). \tag{2.1}$$

Theorem 2.4 ([**1**])**.**

(i) *The morphism* $\alpha_{X\times Y}$ *defined above is a rational* U*-action on* $X\times Y$.

(ii) *Let* $\mathbf{m} : B^- \times B^- \to B^-$ *be a multiplication map and* $f = f_{X\times Y} : X\times Y \to B^-$ *be the rational map defined by*

$$f_{X\times Y} := \mathbf{m}\circ(f_X \times f_Y).$$

Then $f_{X\times Y}$ *is a* U*-morphism and* $(\mathbf{X}\times\mathbf{Y}, f_{X\times Y})$ *is a unipotent crystal, which we call a product of unipotent crystals* $(\mathbf{X}, f_X)$ *and* $(\mathbf{Y}, f_Y)$*.*

(iii) *Product of unipotent crystals is associative.*

2.3. From Unipotent Crystals to Geometric Crystals.

For $i\in I$, set $U_i^{\pm} := U^{\pm}\cap \bar{s}_i U^{\mp}\bar{s}_i^{-1}$ and $U_{\pm}^{i} := U^{\pm}\cap\bar{s}_iU^{\pm}\bar{s}_i^{-1}$. Indeed, $U_i^{\pm} = U_{\pm\alpha_i}$. Set

$$Y_{\pm\alpha_i} := \langle x_{\pm\alpha_i}(t)U_\alpha x_{\pm\alpha_i}(-t) | t\in\mathbb{C},\ \alpha\in\Delta_{\pm}^{\mathrm{re}}\setminus\{\pm\alpha_i\}\rangle.$$

We have the unique decomposition; $U^- = U_i^-\cdot Y_{\pm\alpha_i} = U_{-\alpha_i}\cdot U_-^i$. By using this decomposition, we get the canonical projection $\xi_i : U^-\to U_{-\alpha_i}$ and define the function χ_i on U^- by

$$\chi_i := y_i^{-1}\circ\xi_i : U^- \longrightarrow U_{-\alpha_i}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{C}, \tag{2.2}$$

and extend this to the function on B^- by $\chi_i(u\cdot t) := \chi_i(u)$ for $u\in U^-$ and $t\in T$. For a unipotent G-crystal $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{f_X})$, we define a function $\varepsilon_i := \varepsilon_i^X : X\to\mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varepsilon_i := \chi_i\circ\mathbf{f_X},$$

and a rational function $\gamma_i : X\to\mathbb{C}$ by

$$\gamma_i := \alpha_i\circ\mathrm{proj}_T\circ\mathbf{f_X} : X\to B^-\to T\to\mathbb{C}, \tag{2.3}$$

where proj_T is the canonical projection.

Remark. Note that the function ε_i is denoted by φ_i in [**1, 7**].

Suppose that the function ε_i is not identically zero on X. We define a morphism $e_i : \mathbb{C}^\times\times X\to X$ by

$$e_i^c(x) := x_i\left(\frac{c-1}{\varepsilon_i(x)}\right)(x). \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.5 ([**1**],[**8**])**.** *For a unipotent* G*-crystal* $(\mathbf{X}, f_X)$*, suppose that the function* ε_i *is not identically zero for any* $i\in I$*. Then the rational functions* $\gamma_i, \varepsilon_i : X\to\mathbb{C}$ *and* $e_i : \mathbb{C}^\times\times\mathbf{X}\to\mathbf{X}$ *as above define a geometric* G*-crystal* $(\mathbf{X}, \{e_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\gamma_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i\in I})$*, which is called the induced geometric* G*-crystals by unipotent* G*-crystal* $(\mathbf{X}, f_X)$*.*

Proposition 2.6 ([**1**],[**8**])**.** *For unipotent* G*-crystals* (X, f_X) *and* (Y, f_Y)*, set the product* $(Z, f_Z) := (X, f_X)\times(Y, f_Y)$*, where* $Z = X\times Y$*. Let* $(Z, \{e_i^Z\}_{i\in I}, \{\gamma_i^Z\}_{i\in I}, \{\varepsilon_i^Z\}_{i\in I})$ *be the induced geometric* G*-crystal from* $(\mathbf{Z}, f_Z)$*. Then we obtain:*

(i) *For each* $i\in I$*,* $(x,y)\in Z$*,*

$$\gamma_i^Z(x,y) = \gamma_i^X(x)\gamma_i^Y(y), \qquad \varepsilon_i^Z(x,y) = \varepsilon_i^X(x) + \frac{\varepsilon_i^Y(y)}{\gamma_i^X(x)}. \tag{2.5}$$

(ii) *For any* $i\in I$*, the action* $e_i^Z : \mathbb{C}^\times\times Z\to Z$ *is given by:* $(e_i^Z)^c(x,y) = ((e_i^X)^{c_1}(x), (e_i^Y)^{c_2}(y))$*, where*

$$c_1 = \frac{c\gamma_i^X(x)\varepsilon_i^X(x) + \varepsilon_i^Y(y)}{\gamma_i^X(x)\varepsilon_i^X(x) + \varepsilon_i^Y(y)},\ c_2 = \frac{c(\gamma_i^X(x)\varepsilon_i^X(x) + \varepsilon_i^Y(y))}{c\gamma_i^X(x)\varepsilon_i^X(x) + \varepsilon_i^Y(y)} \tag{2.6}$$

Here note that $c_1 c_2 = c$. The formula c_1 and c_2 in [**1**] seem to be different from ours.

3. Geometric crystals on Flag variety and Schubert variety

Let $X := G/B$ be the flag variety, which has the cell decomposition $X = \sqcup_{w\in W} X_w$. Each cell X_w is called a Schubert cell associated with a Weyl group element $w \in W$. Its closure $\overline{X}_w$ in X is called a Schubert variety which satisfies the closure relation $\overline{X}_w = \sqcup_{y\leq w} X_y$. As we have seen in [**7**], we can associate geometric crystal structure with the Schubert cell (resp. variety) X_w (resp. $\overline{X}_w$).

The geometric crystal on X_w is realized in B^- as follows:
Let $\iota := i_1 \cdots i_k$ be one of the reduced expressions of $w \in W$. Suppose that an element $w \in W$ satisfies that $I = I(w) := \{i_1, \cdots, i_k\}$. Define

$$B^-_\iota := \{Y_\iota(c_1, \cdots, c_k) := Y_{i_1}(c_1) \cdots Y_{i_k}(c_k) \in B^- | c_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}.$$

where $Y_i(c) = y_i(\frac{1}{c})\alpha_i^\vee(c)$. The Schubert cell X_w (resp. The Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w$) and B^-_ι are birationally equivalent and they are isomorphic as induced geometric crystals.

Indeed, we describe the explicit feature of geometric crystal structure on B^-_ι:

$$\gamma_i\left(Y_\iota(c_1, \cdots, c_k)\right) = \alpha_i(\alpha_{i_1}^\vee(c_1) \cdots \alpha_{i_k}^\vee(c_k)) = c_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i}} \cdots c_k^{\mathbf{a}_{i_k,i}}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\varepsilon_i(Y_\iota(c_1, \cdots, c_k)) = \sum_{1\leq j\leq k, i_j=i} \frac{1}{c_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i}} \cdots c_{j-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{j-1},i}} c_j}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$e_i^c(Y_\iota(c_1, \cdots, c_k)) =: Y_\iota(\mathcal{C}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_k),$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_j := c_j \cdot \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{1\leq m\leq j, i_m=i} \frac{c}{c_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i}} \cdots c_{m-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{m-1},i}} c_m} + \sum_{j<m\leq k, i_m=i} \frac{1}{c_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i}} \cdots c_{m-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{m-1},i}} c_m}}{\displaystyle\sum_{1\leq m<j, i_m=i} \frac{c}{c_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i}} \cdots c_{m-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{m-1},i}} c_m} + \sum_{j\leq m\leq k, i_m=i} \frac{1}{c_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i}} \cdots c_{m-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{m-1},i}} c_m}}. \tag{3.3}$$

In the case $\mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple, we know that the flag variety $X = G/B$ coincides with the Schubert variety $\overline{X}_{w_0}$ for the longest element w_0 in the Weyl group. Thus, we have

Corollary 3.1. *For a semi-simple $\mathfrak{g}$, we have the geometric crystal structure on the flag variety $X := G/B$.*

4. Geometric Crystals on U^-

In this section, we associate a geometric/unipotent crystal structure with unipotent subgroup U^- of semi-simple algebraic group G. In particular, for $G = SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ we describe it explicitly. The contents of this section is almost same as in [**8**]. But we shall see the whole setting again since we modified some definitions and made typographical errors in the proofs of certain statements.

4.1. ***U-variety structure on U^-.*** In this subsection, suppose that G is a Kac-Moody group as in Sect.2. As mentioned in Sect.2, Borel subgroup B^- has a U-variety structure. By the similar manner, we define U-variety structure on U^-. As in 2.2, the multiplication map m in G induces an open embedding; $m : U^- \times B \hookrightarrow G$, then this is a birational isomorphism. Let us denote the inverse birational isomorphism by h;

$$h : G \longrightarrow U^- \times B.$$

Then we define the rational maps $\pi^{--}: G \to U^-$ and $\pi^+: G \to B$ by $\pi^{--} := \mathrm{proj}_{U^-} \circ h$ and $\pi^+ := \mathrm{proj}_B \circ h$. Now we define the rational U-action α_{U^-} on U^- by

$$\alpha_{U^-} := \pi^{--} \circ m : U \times U^- \longrightarrow U^-,$$

Then we obtain

Lemma 4.1. *A pair* $\mathbf{U}^- = (U^-, \alpha_{U^-})$ *is a* U*-variety on a unipotent radical* $U^- \subset B^-$.

4.2. Bilinear form. In this subsection, following [**2**, 9.4] we introduce the invariant bilinear form of finite dimensional modules. What we have introduced in [**8**] is subtly inexact. So, let us reimburse it here.

Let $\lambda \in P_+$ be a dominant integral weight and $L(\lambda)$ be the associated irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module with the fixed highest weight vector u_λ. For $v \in L(\lambda)$, define its expectation value $E(v)$ by

$$v = E(v)u_\lambda + \text{lower weight vectors}.$$

Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\widehat{\omega} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{g})$ an anti-involution of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by $\widehat{\omega}(e_i) = f_i$, $\widehat{\omega}(f_i) = e_i$ and $\widehat{\omega}(h) = h$ for $i \in I$, $h \in \mathfrak{t}$. Note that it is extended to an anti-involution of the group G such that $\widehat{\omega}(x_i(c)) = y_i(c)$, $\widehat{\omega}(y_i(c)) = x_i(c)$ and $\widehat{\omega}(t) = t$ for $i \in I$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in T$. Now, we define a symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ \ ,\ \ \rangle$ on $L(\lambda)$ by

$$\langle u, v\rangle = E(\widehat{\omega}(a)a'u_\lambda),$$

where a, a' are elements in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $u = au_\lambda$ and $v = a'u_\lambda$. This bilinear form satisfies

$$\langle gu, v\rangle = \langle u, \widehat{\omega}(g)v\rangle, \tag{4.1}$$

where g is an element in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ or G.

4.3. Unipotent/Geometric crystal structure on U^-. In order to define a unipotent crystal structure on U^-, let us construct a U-morphism $\mathcal{F} : U^- \to B^-$.

The multiplication map m in G induces an open embedding; $m : U^- \times T \times U \hookrightarrow G$, which is a birational isomorphism. Thus, by the similar way as above, we obtain the rational map $\pi^0 : G \to T$. Here note that we have

$$\pi^-(x) = \pi^{--}(x)\pi^0(x) \quad (x \in G). \tag{4.2}$$

Now, we give a sufficient condition for existence of U-morphism $\mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 4.2 ([**8**]). *Let* $\mathcal{T} : U^- \to T$ *be a rational map satisfying:*

$$\mathcal{T}(\pi^{--}(xu)) = \pi^0(xu)\mathcal{T}(u), \quad \textit{for } x \in U \textit{ and } u \in U^-. \tag{4.3}$$

Defining a morphism $\mathcal{F} : U^- \to B^-$ *by*

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{F}: & U^- & \longrightarrow & B^- \\ & u & \mapsto & u\mathcal{T}(u), \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

then $\mathcal{F}$ *is a* U*-morphism* $U^- \to B^-$.

Proof. We may show

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_{U^-}(x, u)) = \alpha_{B^-}(x, \mathcal{F}(u)), \quad \text{for } x \in U \text{ and } u \in U^-. \tag{4.5}$$

As for the left-hand side of (4.5), we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_{U^-}(x, u)) = \pi^{--}(xu)\mathcal{T}(\pi^{--}(xu)) = \pi^{--}(xu)\pi^0(xu)\mathcal{T}(u),$$

where the last equality is due to (4.3). On the other hand, the right-hand side of (4.5) is written by:

$$\alpha_{B^-}(x, \mathcal{F}(u)) = \pi^-(xu\mathcal{T}(u)) = \pi^{--}(xu\mathcal{T}(u))\pi^0(xu\mathcal{T}(u)) = \pi^{--}(xu)\pi^0(xu)\mathcal{T}(u)$$

where the second equality is due to (4.2) and the third equality is obtained by the fact that $\mathcal{T}(u) \in T \subset B$. Now we get (4.5). $\square$

Let us verify that there exists such U-morphism $\mathcal{F}$ or rational map $\mathcal{T}$ for semi-simple cases. Suppose that G (resp. $\mathfrak{g}$)is semi-simple in the rest of this section.

Let Λ_i $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$ be a fundamental weight and $L(\Lambda_i)$ be a corresponding irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex semi-simple Lie algebra associated with G. Let v_λ be a lowest weight vector in $L(\lambda)$ such that $\langle v_\lambda, v_\lambda\rangle = 1$. Now, let us define a rational function $F_i^{(n)} : U^- \to \mathbb{C}$ $(i \in I)$ by

$$F_i^{(n)}(u) = \langle u \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle \quad (u \in U^-). \tag{4.6}$$

We define a rational map $\mathcal{T} : U^- \to T$ by

$$\mathcal{T}(u) := \prod_{i\in I} \alpha_i^\vee(F_i^{(n)}(u)^{-1}). \tag{4.7}$$

and define a morphism $\mathcal{F} : U^- \to B^-$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(u) := u \cdot \prod_{i\in I} \alpha_i^\vee(F_i^{(n)}(u)^{-1}). \tag{4.8}$$

Lemma 4.3. *The morphism $\mathcal{F} : U^- \to B^-$ is a U-morphism.*

We have mentioned this statement in [**8**]. Nevertheless, since we modified the definition of the bilinear form and there are several typographical errors in the proof, we shall give a proof of this lemma again here.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Let us verify that $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies (4.3). For $x \in U$ and $u \in U^-$ such that $xu \in Im(U^- \times T \times U \hookrightarrow G)$, let $u^- \in U^-$, $u^0 \in T$ and $u^+ \in U$ be the unique elements satisfying $u^-u^0u^+ = xu$, *i.e.*, $\pi^{--}(xu) = u^-$, $\pi^0(xu) = u^0$ and $\pi(xu) = u^+$. By (4.1) and the fact that $g \cdot v_{\Lambda_i} = v_{\Lambda_i}$ for any $g \in U^-$, we have

$$\langle xu \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle = \langle u \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, \widehat{\omega}(x) \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle = \langle u \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle. \tag{4.9}$$

On the other hand, since $g \cdot u_{\Lambda_i} = u_{\Lambda_i}$ for $g \in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle xu \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle &= \langle \pi^{--}(xu)\pi^0(xu)\pi(xu) \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle \\ &= \langle \pi^{--}(xu)\pi^0(xu) \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle = \Lambda_i(\pi^0(xu))\langle \pi^{--}(xu) \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where we regard Λ_i as an element in $X^*(T)$ such that $\Lambda_i(\alpha_j^\vee(c)) = c^{\delta_{i,j}}$. Hence, by (4.9), (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}F_i^{(n)}(\pi^{--}(xu)) &= \langle \pi^{--}(xu) \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle = \Lambda_i(\pi^0(xu))^{-1}\langle xu \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle \\ &= \Lambda_i(\pi^0(xu))^{-1}\langle u \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, v_{\Lambda_i}\rangle = \Lambda_i(\pi^0(xu))^{-1}F_i^{(n)}(u).\end{aligned}$$

By the formula

$$\prod_i \alpha_i^\vee(\Lambda_i(t)) = t, \quad (t \in T),$$

and the definitions of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, we obtained (4.3). $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose that G (resp. $\mathfrak{g}$) is semi-simple. Then (U^-, F) is a unipotent crystal.*

As we have seen in 2.3, we can associate geometric crystal structure with the unipotent subgroup U^- since it has a unipotent crystal structure.

Let us denote the function $\chi_i : U^- \to \mathbb{C}$ in (2.2) by $\varepsilon_i : U^- \to \mathbb{C}$ here. It is trivial that the function $\varepsilon_i : U^- \to \mathbb{C}$ is not identically zero. Thus, defining the morphisms $e_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \times U^- \to U^-$ and $\gamma_i : U^- \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$e_i(c, u) = e_i^c(u) := x_i(\frac{c-1}{\varepsilon_i(u)})(u), \qquad \gamma_i(u) := \alpha_i(\mathcal{T}(u)), \qquad (u \in U^- \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{C}^\times), \tag{4.11}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.5:

Theorem 4.5. *If G is semi-simple, then $\chi_{U^-} := (U^-, \{\gamma_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i\in I}, \{e_i\}_{i\in I})$ is a geometric crystal.*

4.4. Explicit Form of the Geometric Crystal Structure of U^-. Let $\iota_0 = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_N$ be a reduced longest word of a semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and set

$$U^-_{\iota_0} := \{y_{\iota_0}(a) = y_{i_1}(a_1)\cdots y_{i_k}(a_N) | a_1, \cdots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

which is birationally equivalent to U^-. Thus, using this we describe an explicit form of the geometric crystal structure of U^-: For ι_0 and $i \in I$, define $\{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_l\} := \{j | 1 \le j \le k,\ m_j = i\}$, where $1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_l \le N$ and set

$$L^{(i)}_m(a;c) := \frac{c(a_{j_1} + \cdots + a_{j_m}) + a_{j_{m+1}} + \cdots + a_{j_l}}{a_{j_1} + \cdots + a_{j_l}} \qquad (1 \le m \le l,\ c \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Then, we have

$$\varepsilon_i(y_{\iota_0}(a)) = \sum_{i_j = i} a_j, \qquad \gamma_i(y_{\iota_0}(a)) = \alpha_i(\prod_j \alpha_j^\vee(F_j^{(n)}(y_{\iota_0}(a)))^{-1}),$$

$$e_i^c(y_{\iota_0}(a)) = x_i(\frac{c-1}{\varepsilon_i(y_{\iota_0}(a))})(y_{\iota_0}(a)) = y_{i_1}(a'_1)\cdots y_{i_N}(a'_N), \tag{4.12}$$

$$\text{where} \quad a'_{j_m} = \frac{a_{j_m}}{L^{(i)}_{m-1}(a;c) L^{(i)}_m(a;c)} \quad (i_{j_m} = i), \quad a'_{i_p} = \frac{a_p}{L^{(i)}_{m-1}(a;c)^{\mathbf{a}_{i,i_p}}} \quad (j_{m-1} < p < j_m).$$

Note that $L^{(i)}_0(a;c) = 1$.

5. Fundamental Representations

In order to get the explicit form of the function $F_i^{(n)}$ in the next section, we shall see some technical lemmas in this section.

5.1. Type C_n. Let $I := \{1, 2, \cdots n\}$ be the index set of the simple roots of type C_n. The Cartan matrix $A = (\mathbf{a}_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$ of type C_n is given by

$$\mathbf{a}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j, \\ -1 & \text{if } |i-j| = 1 \text{ and } (i,j) \ne (n-1,n) \\ -2 & \text{if } (i,j) = (n-1,n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here α_i ($i \ne n$) is a short root and α_n is the long root. Let $\{h_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the set of simple co-roots and $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the set of fundamental weights satisfying $\alpha_j(h_i) = \mathbf{a}_{i,j}$ and $\Lambda_i(h_j) = \delta_{i,j}$.

First, let us describe the vector representation $L(\Lambda_1)$. Set $\mathbf{B}^{(n)} := \{v_j, v_{\overline{j}} | j = 1, 2, \cdots, n.\}$. The weight of v_j is as follows:

$$\mathrm{wt}(v_j) = \begin{cases} \Lambda_i - \Lambda_{i-1} & \text{if } i = 1, \cdots, n, \\ \Lambda_{i-1} - \Lambda_i & \text{if } i = \overline{1}, \cdots, \overline{n}, \end{cases}$$

where $\Lambda_0 = 0$. The actions of e_i and f_i are given by:

$$f_i v_i = v_{i+1}, \quad f_i v_{\overline{i+1}} = v_{\overline{i}}, \quad e_i v_{i+1} = v_i, \quad e_i v_{\overline{i}} = v_{\overline{i+1}} \quad (1 \le i < n), \tag{5.1}$$

$$f_n v_n = v_{\overline{n}}, \qquad e_n v_{\overline{n}} = v_n, \tag{5.2}$$

and the other actions are trivial.

Let $\Lambda_i^{(n)}$ be the i-th fundamental weight of type C_n, where we add the superscript (n) to emphasize the rank of the corresponding Lie algebra. As is well-known that the fundamental representation $L(\Lambda_i^{(n)})$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ is embedded in $L(\Lambda_1^{(n)})^{\otimes i}$ with multiplicity free. The explicit form of the highest(resp. lowest) weight vector $u_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$ (resp. $v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$) of $L(\Lambda_i^{(n)}$ is realized in $L(\Lambda_1^{(n)})^{\otimes i}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}} &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_i} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(i)}, \\
v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}} &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_i} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) v_{\overline{\sigma(i)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\overline{\sigma(1)}},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_i$ is the i-th symmetric group. For $x = \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_k} c_{i_1,\cdots,i_k} v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k} \in L(\Lambda_1^{(n)})^{\otimes k}$, $v \in L(\Lambda_1^{(n)})$ and $j \in \{1,\cdots,k\}$, let us define:

$$
x[v;j] := \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_k} c_{i_1,\cdots,i_k} v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots v_{i_{j-1}} \otimes v \otimes v_{i_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k} \in L(\Lambda_1^{(n)})^{\otimes k+1}.
$$

Let u' (resp. v') be the vector in $L(\Lambda_1^{(n+1)})^{\otimes i}$ $(i < n)$ whose explicit form is given by replacing v_j (resp. $v_{\overline{j}}$)$\in L(\Lambda_1^{(n)})$ by v_{j+1} (resp. $v_{\overline{j+1}}$) $\in L(\Lambda_1^{(n+1)})$ $(j = 1,\cdots,i)$ in the vector $u_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$ (resp. $v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$) in (5.3). Then, they satisfy $e_i u' = 0$ (resp. $f_i v' = 0$) for $i = 2,\cdots,n$. Here for the vectors $v_j, v_{\overline{j}}$ $(i = 1,\cdots,n)$ in $\mathbf{B}^{(n)}$ and $\mathbf{B}^{(n+1)}$ we shall use the same notations.

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$
u_{\Lambda_{i+1}^{(n+1)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{j-1} u'[v_1;j], \tag{5.4}
$$

$$
v_{\Lambda_{i+1}^{(n+1)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{i-j} v'[v_{\overline{1}};j]. \tag{5.5}
$$

Proof. Suppose $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{i+1}$ is in the form

$$
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & j\cdots & i+1 \\ \sigma(1) & \cdots & 1\cdots & \sigma(i+1) \end{pmatrix},
$$

that is, $\sigma(j) = 1$. Then we have

$$
\sigma = (\sigma(1),\cdots,\sigma(j-1),1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\cdots & j & j+1 & \cdots & i+1 \\ 1 & \sigma(1)\cdots & \sigma(j-1) & \sigma(j+1) & \cdots & \sigma(i+1) \end{pmatrix},
$$

where $(\sigma(1),\cdots,\sigma(j-1),1)$ is a cycle. Since $\sigma(k) \neq 1$ for $k \neq j$, we have that

$$
\sigma' := \begin{pmatrix} 2\cdots & j & j+1\cdots & i+1 \\ \sigma(1)\cdots & \sigma(j-1) & \sigma(j+1)\cdots & \sigma(i+1) \end{pmatrix},
$$

is a permutation of $\{2,3,\cdots,i+1\}$ satisfying $\sigma'(k) = \sigma(k-1)$ for $2 \leq k \leq j$ and $\sigma'(k) = \sigma(k)$ for $k > j$. Thus, we have $\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^{j-1}\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma')$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\Lambda_{i+1}^{(n+1)}} &= \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \sum_{\sigma'\in\mathfrak{S}'} (-1)^{j-1} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma') v_{\sigma'(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma'(j)} \otimes v_1 \otimes v_{\sigma'(j+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma'(i+1)}, \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{j-1} u'[v_1;j],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}' = \mathfrak{S}_{\{2,\cdots,i+1\}}$. The case of $v_{\Lambda_{i+1}^{(n+1)}}$ is shown similarly. □

5.2. Type B_n. Let $I := \{1, 2, \cdots n\}$ be the index set of the simple roots of type B_n. The Cartan matrix $A = (\mathbf{a}_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$ of type B_n is given by

$$\mathbf{a}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j, \\ -1 & \text{if } |i-j| = 1 \text{ and } (i,j) \neq (n, n-1) \\ -2 & \text{if } (i,j) = (n, n-1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here α_i $(i \neq n)$ is a long root and α_n is the short root. Let $\{h_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the set of simple co-roots and $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the set of fundamental weights satisfying $\alpha_j(h_i) = \mathbf{a}_{i,j}$ and $\Lambda_i(h_j) = \delta_{i,j}$.

First, let us describe the vector representation $L(\Lambda_1)$ for B_n. Set $\mathbf{B}^{(n)} := \{v_j, v_{\bar{j}} | j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\} \cup \{v_0\}$. The weight of v_j is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{wt}(v_j) = \Lambda_i - \Lambda_{i-1}, \quad \mathrm{wt}(v_{\bar{j}}) = \Lambda_{i-1} - \Lambda_i \quad (i = 1, \cdots, n-1), \\ &\mathrm{wt}(v_n) = 2\Lambda_n - \Lambda_{n-1}, \quad \mathrm{wt}(v_{\bar{n}}) = \Lambda_{n-1} - 2\Lambda_n, \quad \mathrm{wt}(v_0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_0 = 0$. The actions of e_i and f_i are given by:

$$f_i v_i = v_{i+1}, \quad f_i v_{\overline{i+1}} = v_{\bar{i}}, \quad e_i v_{i+1} = v_i, \quad e_i v_{\bar{i}} = v_{\overline{i+1}} \quad (1 \leq i < n), \tag{5.6}$$

$$f_n v_n = v_0, \quad f_n v_0 = 2v_{\bar{n}}, \quad e_n v_0 = 2v_n, \quad e_n v_{\bar{n}} = v_0, \tag{5.7}$$

and the other actions are trivial.

The last fundamental representation $L(\Lambda_n)$ is called the "spin representation" whose dimension is 2^n. It is realized as follows: Set $V_{sp}^{(n)} := \bigoplus_{\epsilon\in B_{sp}^{(n)}} \mathbb{C}\epsilon$ and

$$B_{sp}^{(n)} := \{(\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_n) | \epsilon_i \in \{+, -\}\}.$$

Define the explicit action of h_i, e_i and f_i on $V_{sp}^{(n)}$ by

$$h_i(\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon_i \cdot 1 - \epsilon_{i+1}\cdot 1}{2}(\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_n), & \text{if } i \neq n, \\ \epsilon_n(\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_n) & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

$$f_i(\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_n) = \begin{cases} (\cdots, \overset{i}{-}, \overset{i+1}{+}, \cdots) & \text{if } \epsilon_i = +,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = -,\ i \neq n, \\ (\cdots\cdots\cdots, \overset{n}{-}) & \text{if } \epsilon_n = +,\ i = n, \\ \quad 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{5.9}$$

$$e_i(\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_n) = \begin{cases} (\cdots, \overset{i}{+}, \overset{i+1}{-}, \cdots) & \text{if } \epsilon_i = -,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = +,\ i \neq n, \\ (\cdots\cdots\cdots, \overset{n}{+}) & \text{if } \epsilon_n = -,\ i = n, \\ \quad 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

Then the module $V_{sp}^{(n)}$ is isomorphic to $L(\Lambda_n)$ as a B_n-module.

The following decomposition is well-known:

$$L(\Lambda_n) \otimes L(\Lambda_n) \cong L(0) \oplus L(\Lambda_1) \oplus L(\Lambda_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus L(\Lambda_{n-1}) \oplus L(2\Lambda_n).$$

Let us describe the explicit form of the highest (resp. lowest)weight vector $u_i^{(n)}$(resp. $v_i^{(n)}$) in $L(\Lambda_i^{(n)})$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n-1)$ by using the vectors in $B_{sp}^{(n)}$, where $\Lambda_i^{(n)} = \Lambda_i$ and we emphasize the rank of the Lie algebra by adding the superscript (n). For $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_n) \in B_{sp}^{(n)}$, we define the signature $\mathrm{sg}(\epsilon)$ as follows: set $J(\epsilon) := \{j_1, \cdots, j_m\} \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$ such that $\epsilon_{j_k} = -$ for any $k = 1, \cdots, m$ and if $p \neq j_k$, then $\epsilon_p = +$. Then we define

$$\mathrm{sg}(\epsilon) = (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{m}(n-j_k+1)}.$$

It is easy to see:

$$u_i^{(n)} := \sum_{\epsilon,\epsilon' \text{ satisfies (H)}} \mathrm{sg}(\epsilon)\epsilon\otimes\epsilon', \qquad v_i^{(n)} := \sum_{\epsilon,\epsilon' \text{ satisfies (L)}} (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}\mathrm{sg}(\epsilon')\epsilon\otimes\epsilon', \tag{5.11}$$

where for $\epsilon=(\epsilon_1,\cdots,\epsilon_n)$ and $\epsilon'=(\epsilon'_1,\cdots,\epsilon'_n)$,

(H) $\epsilon_j=\epsilon'_j=+$ $(j\le i)$ and $\epsilon_j\cdot\epsilon'_j=-$ $(j>i)$.
(L) $\epsilon_j=\epsilon'_j=-$ $(j\le i)$ and $\epsilon_j\cdot\epsilon'_j=-$ $(j>i)$.

For $v=\sum_{\epsilon,\epsilon'\in B_{sp}^{(n)}} c_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}\epsilon\otimes\epsilon'\in V_{sp}^{(n)}\otimes V_{sp}^{(n)}$, let us denote

$$(\pm,v) := \sum_{\epsilon,\epsilon'\in B_{sp}^{(n)}} c_{\epsilon,\epsilon'}(\pm,\epsilon)\otimes(\pm,\epsilon')\in V_{sp}^{(n+1)}\otimes V_{sp}^{(n+1)},$$

where $(\pm,\epsilon)\in B_{sp}^{(n+1)}$.

Remark. Note that for $B_{sp}^{(n)}$ and $i=2,\cdots,n+1$, we have $e_i(\pm,v)=(\pm,e_{i-1}v)$ and $f_i(\pm,v)=(\pm,f_{i-1}v)$.

Lemma 5.2. *We have*

$$u_{i+1}^{(n+1)}=(+,u_i^{(n)}),\qquad v_{i+1}^{(n+1)}=(-,v_i^{(n)})\quad (i=1,\cdots,n). \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. For $\epsilon=(\epsilon_1,\cdots,\epsilon_n)\in B_{sp}^{(n)}$, let $J(\epsilon)=\{j_1,\cdots,j_m\}$ be the same set as above. Then $J(+,\epsilon)=\{j_1+1,j_2+1,\cdots,j_m+1\}$. Thus, we have $u_{i+1}^{(n+1)}=(+,u_i^{(n)})$ since

$$\mathrm{sg}(+,\epsilon)=(-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^m((n+1)-(j_k+1)+1)}=(-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^m(n-j_k+1)}=\mathrm{sg}(\epsilon).$$

Next, let us show the case $v_j^{(n)}$. For $\epsilon=(\epsilon_1,\cdots,\epsilon_n)\in B_{sp}^{(n)}$, let $J(\epsilon)=\{j_1,\cdots,j_m\}$. Then we get $J(-,\epsilon)=\{1,j_1+1,j_2+1,\cdots,j_m+1\}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\mathrm{sg}(-,\epsilon)=(-1)^{((n+1)-1+1)+\sum_{k=1}^m((n+1)-(j_k+1)+1)}=(-1)^{n+1}\cdot(-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^m n-j_k+1}=(-1)^{n+1}\mathrm{sg}(\epsilon),$$

which implies $(-,v_i^{(n)})=v_{i+1}^{(n+1)}$. □

5.3. Type D_n. Let $I:=\{1,2,\cdots n\}$ be the index set of the simple roots of type D_n. The Cartan matrix $A=(\mathbf{a}_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$ of type D_n is as follows:

$$\mathbf{a}_{i,j}=\begin{cases}2 & \text{if } i=j,\\ -1 & \text{if } |i-j|=1 \text{ and } (i,j)\neq(n,n-1),\ (n-1,n),\ \text{or } (i,j)=(n-2,n),\ (n,n-2)\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Let $\{h_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the set of simple co-roots and $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the set of fundamental weights satisfying $\alpha_j(h_i)=\mathbf{a}_{i,j}$ and $\Lambda_i(h_j)=\delta_{i,j}$.

First, let us describe the vector representation $L(\Lambda_1)$ for D_n. Set $\mathbf{B}^{(n)}:=\{v_j,v_{\overline{j}}|j=1,2,\cdots,n\}$. The weight of v_j is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{wt}(v_j)=\Lambda_i-\Lambda_{i-1},\quad \mathrm{wt}(v_{\overline{j}})=\Lambda_{i-1}-\Lambda_i\quad (i=1,\cdots,n-1),\\ &\mathrm{wt}(v_n)=\Lambda_{n-1}+\Lambda_n-\Lambda_{n-2},\quad \mathrm{wt}(v_{\overline{n}})=\Lambda_{n-2}-\Lambda_{n-1}+\Lambda_n,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_0=0$. The actions of e_i and f_i are given by:

$$f_iv_i=v_{i+1},\quad f_iv_{\overline{i+1}}=v_{\overline{i}},\quad e_iv_{i+1}=v_i,\quad e_iv_{\overline{i}}=v_{\overline{i+1}}\quad (1\le i<n), \tag{5.13}$$

$$f_nv_n=v_{\overline{n-1}},\quad f_{n-1}v_{\overline{n}}=v_{\overline{n-1}},\quad e_{n-1}v_{\overline{n-1}}=v_{\overline{n}},\quad e_nv_{\overline{n-1}}=v_n, \tag{5.14}$$

and the other actions are trivial.

The last two fundamental representations $L(\Lambda_{n-1})$ and $L(\Lambda_n)$ are also called the "spin representations" whose dimension are 2^{n-1}. They are realized as follows: Set $V_{sp}^{(+,n)}$(resp. $V_{sp}^{(-,n)}$) $:= \bigoplus_{\epsilon\in B_{sp}^{(+,n)}(\text{resp. } B_{sp}^{(-,n)})} \mathbb{C}\epsilon$ and

$$B_{sp}^{(+,n)}(\text{resp. } B_{sp}^{(-,n)}) := \{(\epsilon_1,\cdots,\epsilon_n)|\epsilon_i\in\{+,-\},\epsilon_1\cdots\epsilon_n = +(\text{resp. } -)\}.$$

Define the explicit action of h_i, e_i and f_i on $V_{sp}^{(\pm,n)}$ by

$$h_i(\epsilon_1,\cdots,\epsilon_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon_i\cdot 1-\epsilon_{i+1}\cdot 1}{2}(\epsilon_1,\cdots,\epsilon_n), & \text{if } i\neq n,\\ \frac{\epsilon_{n-1}\cdot 1+\epsilon_n\cdot 1}{2}(\epsilon_1,\cdots,\epsilon_n) & \text{if } i=n, \end{cases} \tag{5.15}$$

$$f_i(\epsilon_1,\cdots,\epsilon_n) = \begin{cases} (\cdots,\overset{i}{-},\overset{i+1}{+},\cdots) & \text{if } \epsilon_i=+,\ \epsilon_{i+1}=-,\ i\neq n,\\ (\cdots\cdots\cdots,\overset{n-1}{-},\overset{n}{-}) & \text{if } \epsilon_{n-1}=+,\epsilon_n=+,\ i=n,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{5.16}$$

$$e_i(\epsilon_1,\cdots,\epsilon_n) = \begin{cases} (\cdots,\overset{i}{+},\overset{i+1}{-},\cdots) & \text{if } \epsilon_i=-,\ \epsilon_{i+1}=+,\ i\neq n,\\ (\cdots\cdots\cdots,\overset{n-1}{+},\overset{n}{+}) & \text{if } \epsilon_{n-1}=-,\epsilon_n=-,\ i=n,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.17}$$

Then the module $V_{sp}^{(+,n)}$ (resp. $V_{sp}^{(-,n)}$) is isomorphic to $L(\Lambda_n)$ (resp. $L(\Lambda_{n-1})$) as a D_n-module.

The following decomposition is well-known:

$$V_{sp}^{(+,n)}\otimes V_{sp}^{(+,n)} \cong \begin{cases} L(0)\oplus L(\Lambda_2)\oplus\cdots\oplus L(\Lambda_{2m-2})\oplus L(2\lambda_{2m}) & \text{if } n=2m,\\ L(\Lambda_1)\oplus L(\Lambda_3)\oplus\cdots\oplus L(\Lambda_{2m-1})\oplus L(2\lambda_{2m+1}) & \text{if } n=2m+1, \end{cases} \tag{5.18}$$

$$V_{sp}^{(+,n)}\otimes V_{sp}^{(-,n)} \cong \begin{cases} L(\Lambda_1)\oplus L(\Lambda_3)\oplus\cdots\oplus L(\Lambda_{2m-3})\oplus L(2\lambda_{2m-1}) & \text{if } n=2m,\\ L(0)\oplus L(\Lambda_2)\oplus\cdots\oplus L(\Lambda_{2m-2})\oplus L(2\lambda_{2m}) & \text{if } n=2m+1, \end{cases} \tag{5.19}$$

Thus, we know that each fundamental representation $L(\Lambda_i)$ $(i=1,\cdots,n-2)$ is embedded in $V_{sp}^{(+,n)}\otimes V_{sp}^{(\pm,n)}$ with multiplicity free. Now, let us describe the unique (up to constant) highest (resp. lowest) weight vector $u_i^{(n)}$ (resp. $v_i^{(n)}$) in $L(\Lambda_i)$. It is trivial that

$$u_n^{(n)} = (+,\cdots,+,+),\quad v_n^{(n)} = \begin{cases} (-,\cdots,-,-) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.}\\ (-,\cdots,-,+) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$u_{n-1}^{(n)} = (+,\cdots,+,-),\quad v_{n-1}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} (-,\cdots,-,+) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.}\\ (-,\cdots,-,-) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For $\epsilon\in V_{sp}^{(\pm,n)}$, let $\mathrm{sg}(\epsilon)$ be the same as above. Then it is immediate that

$$u_i^{(n)} := \sum_{\epsilon,\epsilon' \text{ satisfies (H)}} \mathrm{sg}(\epsilon)\epsilon\otimes\epsilon',\qquad v_i^{(n)} := \sum_{\epsilon,\epsilon' \text{ satisfies (L)}} (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}\mathrm{sg}(\epsilon')\epsilon\otimes\epsilon', \tag{5.20}$$

where if $n-i$ is even (resp. odd), then $u_i^{(n)}$ and $v_i^{(n)}$ are in $V_{sp}^{(+,n)}\otimes V_{sp}^{(+,n)}$ (resp. $V_{sp}^{(+,n)}\otimes V_{sp}^{(-,n)}$), and

(H) $\epsilon_j=\epsilon'_j=+$ $(j\leq i)$ and $\epsilon_j\cdot\epsilon'_j=-$ $(j>i)$.
(L) $\epsilon_j=\epsilon'_j=-$ $(j\leq i)$ and $\epsilon_j\cdot\epsilon'_j=-$ $(j>i)$.

By arguing similarly to the B_n-case, we have

Lemma 5.3.

$$u_{i+1}^{(n+1)}=(+,u_i^{(n)}),\qquad v_{i+1}^{(n+1)}=(-,v_i^{(n)})\quad (i=1,\cdots,n-2), \tag{5.21}$$

$$u_{n}^{(n+1)}=(+,u_{n-1}^{(n)}),\quad v_{n}^{(n+1)}=(-,v_{n-1}^{(n)}), \tag{5.22}$$

$$u_{n+1}^{(n+1)}=(+,u_{n}^{(n)}),\quad v_{n+1}^{(n+1)}=(-,v_{n}^{(n)}), \tag{5.23}$$

where the notation $(\pm,u)$ is the same one as in the previous subsection.

Remark. Similar to 5.2, for $v\in B_{sp}^{(\pm,n)}$ and $i=2,\cdots,n+1$, we have $e_i(\pm,v)=(\pm,e_{i-1}v)$ and $f_i(\pm,v)=(\pm,f_{i-1}v)$.

6. Function $F_i^{(n)}$

Fix the following reduced longest word:

$$\iota_0=\begin{cases}(n\ n-1\cdots 21)(n\ n-1\cdots 32)\cdots(n\,n-1)(n) & A_n,\\ (12\cdots n-1\,n\,n-1\cdots 21)(2\cdots n-1\,n\,n-1\cdots 2)\cdots(n-1\,n\,n-1)(n) & B_n,\ C_n\\ (12\cdots n-1\,n\,n-2\cdots 21)(2\cdots n-1\ n\ n-2\cdots 2)\cdots & D_n\\ \cdots(n-2\ n-1\ n\ n-2)(n-1\ n). & \end{cases}$$

For these words and $y\in U^-_{\iota_0}$, we shall obtain the explicit forms of $F_i^{(n)}(y)$.

Proposition 6.1. *We have:*

(i) *A_n-case: For $y=(y_n(a_{1,n})\cdots y_1(a_{1,1}))\cdots(y_n(a_{n-1,n})y_{n-1}(a_{n-1,n}))y_n(a_{n,n}))$*

$$F_i^{(n)}(y)=\prod_{k=1}^{i}\prod_{j=k}^{n-i+k}a_{k,j}$$

(ii) *B_n-case: For $y=(y_1(a_{1,1})\cdots y_n(a_{1,n})y_{n-1}(\overline{a}_{1,n-1})\cdots y_1(\overline{a}_{1,1}))$ $\times(y_2(a_{2,2})\cdots y_2(\overline{a}_{2,2}))\times\cdots\times\ (y_{n-1}(a_{n-1,n-1})y_n(a_{n-1,n})y_{n-1}(\overline{a}_{n-1,n-1}))\ y_n(a_{n,n})$*

$$F_i^{(n)}(y)=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\prod_{1\le k\le i\le j\le n}a_{k,j}\overline{a}_{k,j}\prod_{1\le k\le j<i}\overline{a}_{k,j}^2 & \text{if } i<n,\\ \displaystyle\prod_{1\le k\le j\le n}\overline{a}_{k,j} & \text{if } i=n,\end{cases}$$

where we understand $\overline{a}_{k,n}=a_{k,n}$.

(iii) *C_n-case: For the same y in (ii),*

$$F_i^{(n)}(y)=\prod_{1\le k\le i\le j\le n}a_{k,j}\prod_{1\le k\le i\le j<n}\overline{a}_{k,j}\prod_{1\le k\le j<i}\overline{a}_{k,j}^2,$$

where if $i=n$, we understand the second factor is equal to 1.

(iv) *D_n-case: For $y=(y_1(a_{1,1})\cdots y_{n-1}(a_{1,n-1})y_n(\overline{a}_{1,n-1})y_{n-2}(\overline{a}_{1,n-2})\cdots y_1(\overline{a}_{1,1}))$*
$\cdots\cdots\cdots$
$\times y_{n-2}(a_{n-2,n-2})y_{n-1}(a_{n-2,n-1})y_n(\overline{a}_{n-2,n-1})y_{n-2}(\overline{a}_{n-2,n-2})$

$$\times y_{n-1}(a_{n-1,n-1})y_n(\overline{a}_{n-1,n-1}),$$

$$F_i^{(n)}(y)=\begin{cases}\prod_{1\le k\le i\le j<n} a_{k,j}\overline{a}_{k,j}\prod_{1\le k\le j<i}\overline{a}_{k,j}^2 & \textit{if } 1\le i\le n-2,\\ (\prod_{1\le k\le j<n-1}\overline{a}_{k,j})\cdot(a_{1,n-1}\overline{a}_{2,n-1}\cdots a_{n-1,n-1}) & \textit{if } i=n-1, \quad n:\ \textit{even},\\ (\prod_{1\le k\le j<n-1}\overline{a}_{k,j}\cdot)(\overline{a}_{1,n-1}a_{2,n-1}\cdots a_{n-1,n-1}) & \textit{if } i=n-1, \quad n:\ \textit{odd},\\ (\prod_{1\le k\le j<n-1}\overline{a}_{k,j})\cdot(a_{1,n-1}\overline{a}_{2,n-1}\cdots \overline{a}_{n-1,n-1}) & \textit{if } i=n, \quad n:\ \textit{odd},\\ (\prod_{1\le k\le j<n-1}\overline{a}_{k,j})\cdot(\overline{a}_{1,n-1}a_{2,n-1}\cdots \overline{a}_{n-1,n-1}) & \textit{if } i=n, \quad n:\ \textit{even},\end{cases}$$

Note that the notation $\overline{a}_{i,j}$ does NOT mean the complex conjugate of $a_{i,j}$ but means a variable without any relation to $a_{i,j}$.

Proof. Indeed, the A_n-case has already been given in [**8**]. Let us see the case $i=1$ for other three types.

Let v_1 be the highest weight vector in $L(\Lambda_1)$. Since $f_iv_1=0$ for $i\neq 1$, we have

$$yv_1=\begin{cases}y_1(a_{1,1})\cdots y_n(a_{1,n})y_{n-1}(\overline{a}_{1,n-1})\cdots y_1(\overline{a}_{1,1})v_1, & B_n,\ C_n,\\ y_1(a_{1,1})\cdots y_{n-1}(a_{1,n-1})y_n(\overline{a}_{1,n-1})y_{n-2}(\overline{a}_{1,n-2})\cdots y_1(\overline{a}_{1,1})v_1, & D_n\end{cases}$$

where $y\in U^-_{\iota_0}$ is as in Proposition 6.1. Since $f_i^2=0$ on $L(\Lambda_1)$ for types C_n and D_n and $f_i^2=0$ $(i\neq n)$ and $f_n^3=0$ on $L(\Lambda_1)$ for types B_n, we obtain

$$yv_1=\begin{cases}a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n}^2\overline{a}_{1,n-1}\cdots\overline{a}_{1,1}v_{\overline{1}}+w & B_n,\\ a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n}\overline{a}_{1,n-1}\cdots\overline{a}_{1,1}v_{\overline{1}}+w & C_n,\\ a_{1,1}\cdots a_{1,n-1}\overline{a}_{1,n-1}\cdots\overline{a}_{1,1}v_{\overline{1}}+w & D_n,\end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

where w is a linear combination of vectors with higher weights than the weight of $v_{\overline{1}}$. Since $\langle v_{\overline{1}},v_{\overline{1}}\rangle=1$, the coefficient of $v_{\overline{1}}$ in (6.1) is equal to $F_1^{(n)}(y)$ and it coincides with the formula in Proposition 6.1 for $i=1$.

In order to show the proposition for the cases $i>1$, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $x_i(c) \in U$ ($i \in I$, $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$) be as above and $v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$ be the lowest weight vector in $L(\Lambda_i^{(n)})$ ($i > 1$). Then we have,*

(6.2) B_n-*case:* $\quad x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_1(a_1)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$

$$= \begin{cases} (b_1\cdots b_{i-1})^2(b_i\cdots b_{n-1})a_n^2(a_{n-1}\cdots a_i)(+, v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}}) + w & \textit{if } i < n, \\ b_1\cdots b_{n-1}a_n(+, v_{\Lambda_{n-1}^{(n-1)}}) + w & \textit{if } i = n, \end{cases}$$

(6.3) C_n-*case:* $\quad x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_1(a_1)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$

$$= (b_1\cdots b_{i-1})^2(b_i\cdots b_{n-1})(a_na_{n-1}\cdots a_i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j-1}v'[v_1;j]\right) + w,$$

(6.4) D_n-*case:* $\quad x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-2}(b_{n-2})x_n(b_{n-1})x_{n-1}(a_{n-1})\cdots x_1(a_1)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$

$$= \begin{cases} (b_1\cdots b_{i-1})^2(b_i\cdots b_{n-1})(a_{n-1}\cdots a_i)(+, v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}}) + w & \textit{if } i \le n-2, \\ b_1\cdots b_{n-2}a_{n-1}(+, v_{\Lambda_{n-2}^{(n-1)}}) + w & \textit{if } i = n-1, \quad n: \textit{ even}, \\ b_1\cdots b_{n-2}b_{n-1}(+, v_{\Lambda_{n-2}^{(n-1)}}) + w & \textit{if } i = n-1, \quad n: \textit{ odd}, \\ b_1\cdots b_{n-2}a_{n-1}(+, v_{\Lambda_{n-1}^{(n-1)}}) + w & \textit{if } i = n, \quad n: \textit{ odd}, \\ b_1\cdots b_{n-2}b_{n-1}(+, v_{\Lambda_{n-1}^{(n-1)}}) + w & \textit{if } i = n, \quad n: \textit{ even}, \end{cases}$$

where v' is as in Lemma 5.1 and w is a linear combination of weight vectors with lower weights than the one of the leading vectors.

Proof. We can verify this lemma by the induction on the rank n.

Let us see the case B_n. Since $e_1 v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}} = 0$ for $i \ne 1$, we have

$$x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_1(a_1)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}} = x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_2(a_2)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}.$$

Suppose that $1 < i < n$. By Lemma 5.2 we have $v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}} = (-, v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})$ and $x_i(a)$ ($i \ne 1$) does not change the top $-$ in $v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$. Then, by the hypothesis of the induction we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1(b_1)x_2(b_2)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_2(a_2)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}} \\ &= x_1(b_1)(-, x_2(b_2)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_2(a_2)v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}}) \\ &= (b_2\cdots b_{i-1})^2(b_i\cdots b_{n-1})a_n^2(a_{n-1}\cdots a_i)x_1(b_1)(-, (+, v_{\Lambda_{i-2}^{(n-2)}}))) + w \\ &= (b_1\cdots b_{i-1})^2(b_i\cdots b_{n-1})a_n^2(a_{n-1}\cdots a_i)(+, (-, v_{\Lambda_{i-2}^{(n-2)}}))) + w' \\ &= (b_1\cdots b_{i-1})^2(b_i\cdots b_{n-1})a_n^2(a_{n-1}\cdots a_i)(+, v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})) + w' \end{aligned}$$

where w and w' are linear combinations of lower weight vectors than the leading term. The case $i = n$ is obtained similarly.

Next, let us see the case C_n. As in the previous case, for $i \ne 1$ we have

$$x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_1(a_1)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}} = x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_2(a_2)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}$$

By Lemma 5.1 we get

$$v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}v'[v_{\overline{1}};j].$$

Since $x_i(a)v_{\overline{1}} = v_{\overline{1}}$ for $i \neq 1$, we have

$$x_i(a)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}} = \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}(x_i(a)v')[v_{\overline{1}}; j] \quad (i \neq 1),$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}&x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_2(a_2)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j-1}x_1(b_1)\{(x_2(b_2)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_2(a_2)v')[v_{\overline{1}}; j]\} \quad (i \neq 1).\end{aligned}$$

Applying the induction hypothesis to the index set $\{2, 3, \cdots, n\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&x_2(b_2)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_2(a_2)v'\\ &= (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{j-1}(b_2\cdots b_{i-1})^2(b_i\cdots b_{n-1})(a_na_{n-1}\cdots a_i)v''[v_1; j]) + w''\end{aligned}$$

where v'' is the vector obtained by replacing $v_{\overline{j}}$ with $v_{\overline{j+2}}$ in $v_{\Lambda_{i-2}^{(n-2)}}$ and w'' is a linear combination of lower weight vectors than the ones of the leading term. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(6.5)\quad &x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_2(a_2)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}\\ &= (b_2\cdots b_{i-1})^2(b_i\cdots b_{n-1})(a_na_{n-1}\cdots a_i)\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}x_1(b_1)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{k-1}(v''[v_2; k])[v_{\overline{1}}, j]\right) + w\end{aligned}$$

where w is a linear combination of lower weight vectors. Since v'' does not include v_2 or $v_{\overline{1}}$, we have

$$(6.6)\qquad x_1(b_1)(v''[v_2; k])[v_{\overline{1}}, j] = b_1^2(v''[v_1; k])[v_{\overline{2}}, j] + \text{lower terms}.$$

Here we have

$$(v''[v_1; k])[v_{\overline{2}}; j] = \begin{cases}(v''[v_{\overline{2}}; j-1])[v_1; k] & \text{if } k < j,\\ (v''[v_{\overline{2}}; j])[v_1; k+1] & \text{if } k \geq j.\end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i-j}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{k-1}(v''[v_1; k])[v_{\overline{2}}, j]\right)\\ &= \sum_{1\leq k<j\leq i}(-1)^{i-j+k-1}(v''[v_{\overline{2}}; j-1])[v_1; k] + \sum_{1\leq j\leq k<i}(-1)^{i-j+k-1}(v''[v_{\overline{2}}; j])[v_1; k+1]\\ &= \sum_{1\leq k\leq j<i}(-1)^{i-j+k}(v''[v_{\overline{2}}; j])[v_1; k] + \sum_{1\leq j<k\leq i}(-1)^{i-j+k}(v''[v_{\overline{2}}; j])[v_1; k]\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{k-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{i-j-1}v''[v_{\overline{2}}; j]\right)[v_1; k] = \sum_{k=1}^{i}(-1)^{k-1}v'[v_1; k].\end{aligned}$$

Applying (6.6) and this to (6.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-1}(b_{n-1})x_n(a_n)\cdots x_2(a_2)v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}\\ &= (b_1b_2\cdots b_{i-1})^2(b_i\cdots b_{n-1})(a_na_{n-1}\cdots a_i)(\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j-1}v'[v_1; j]) + w.\end{aligned}$$

We have completed the case C_n.

Finally, we shall see the case D_n. For $i\leq n-2$ we can show similarly to the case B_n. So, let us show the lemma when $i=n$ and n is odd. In this case, $v_{\Lambda_n^{(n)}}=(-,\cdots,-,+)$. Since $x_i(a)v_{\Lambda_n^{(n)}}=v_{\Lambda_n^{(n)}}$ for $i\neq n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-2}(b_{n-2})x_n(b_{n-1})x_{n-1}(a_{n-1})\cdots x_1(a_1)v_{\Lambda_n^{(n)}}\\&\quad=x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-2}(b_{n-2})x_{n-1}(a_{n-1})v_{\Lambda_n^{(n)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by direct calculations, we have

$$x_1(b_1)\cdots x_{n-2}(b_{n-2})x_n(b_{n-1})x_{n-1}(a_{n-1})\cdots x_1(a_1)v_{\Lambda_n^{(n)}}=b_1\cdots b_{n-2}a_{n-1}(+,v_{\Lambda_n^{(n)}})+w.$$

Other cases are also proved similarly. $\square$

Now, we continue the proof of Proposition 6.1. For the case $1<i\leq n$, in order to obtain the explicit form of the function $F_i^{(n)}$ we adopt the induction on n. First, let us see the case B_n. The induction hypothesis for the index set $\{2,\cdots,n\}$ and the remark in 5.2 mean that for $y'=(y_2(a_{2,2})\cdots y_2(\overline{a}_{2,2}))\cdots(y_{n-1}(a_{n-1,n-1})y_n(a_{n-1,n})y_{n-1}(\overline{a}_{n-1,n-1}))y_n(a_{n,n})$

$$y'(+,u_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})=\begin{cases}\left(\displaystyle\prod_{2\leq k\leq i\leq j\leq n}a_{k,j}\overline{a}_{k,j}\prod_{2\leq k\leq j<i}\overline{a}_{k,j}^2\right)(+,v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})+w & \text{if } i<n,\\ \displaystyle\prod_{2\leq k\leq j\leq n}\overline{a}_{k,j}(+,v_{\Lambda_{n-1}^{(n-1)}})+w & \text{if } i=n,\end{cases}\tag{6.7}$$

where $\overline{a}_{k,n}=a_{k,n}$, w is a linear combination of weight vectors with higher weight than the one of the leading term. We shall denote the coefficient of $(+,v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})$ in (6.7) by $\Xi_{i-1}^{(n-1)}$. Set $y:=(y_1(a_{1,1})\cdots y_1(\overline{a}_{1,1}))y'$. Then we have

$$\langle yu_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}},v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}\rangle=\langle y'u_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}},x_1(\overline{a}_{1,1})\cdots x_1(a_{1,1})v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}\rangle.\tag{6.8}$$

By Lemma 6.2, (6.7) and the fact that $\langle(+,v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}}),(+,v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})\rangle=1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle y'u_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}},x_1(\overline{a}_{1,1})\cdots x_1(a_{1,1})v_{\Lambda_i^{(n)}}\rangle\\&\quad=\langle\Xi_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(+,v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})+w,\Omega_{i-1}^{(n-1)}(+,v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})+w'\rangle=\Xi_{i-1}^{(n-1)}\Omega_{i-1}^{(n-1)},\end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_{i-1}^{(n-1)}$ is the coefficient of $(+,v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})$ in Lemma 6.2 and w (resp. w') is a linear combination of weight vectors with higher (resp. lower) weight than the ones of $(+,v_{\Lambda_{i-1}^{(n-1)}})$. It is easily to see that $\Xi_{i-1}^{(n-1)}\Omega_{i-1}^{(n-1)}$ coincides with $F_i^{(n)}(y)$ for type B_n in Proposition 6.1.

The type C_n-case and D_n-case with $i\neq n-1,n$ are also done similarly. Thus, let us see $F_{n-1}^{(n)}$ and $F_n^{(n)}$ for type D_n. Suppose that n is even. The induction hypothesis for the index set $\{2,\cdots,n\}$ and the remark in 5.3 mean that we have

$$y'(+,u_{\Lambda_{n-2}^{(n-1)}})=\prod_{2\leq k\leq j<n-1}\overline{a}_{k,j}\cdot(\overline{a}_{2,n-1}\cdots a_{n-1,n-1})(+,v_{\Lambda_{n-2}^{(n-1)}})+w,\tag{6.9}$$

for $y'=(y_2(a_{2,2})\cdots y_2(\overline{a}_{2,2}))\cdots(y_{n-1}(a_{n-1,n-1})y_n(\overline{a}_{n-1,n-1}))$, where w is a higher term as above and we denote the coefficient of $(+,v_{\Lambda_{n-2}^{(n-1)}})$ in (6.9) by Ξ. By Lemma 6.2, we have

$$x_1(\overline{a}_{1,1})\cdots x_1(a_{1,1})v_{\Lambda_{n-1}^{(n)}}=\overline{a}_{1,1}\cdots\overline{a}_{1,n-2}a_{1,n-1}(+,v_{\Lambda_{n-2}^{(n-1)}})+w'$$

where w' is a lower term as above and we denote the coefficient of $(+,v_{\Lambda_{n-2}^{(n-1)}})$ by Ω. Then arguing as above, we have $F_{n-1}^{(n)}(y)=\Xi\Omega$ for $y=y_1(a_{1,1})\cdots y_1(\overline{a}_{1,1})y'$. The other cases $F_{n-1}^{(n)}$ (n:odd) and $F_n^{(n)}$ are showed similarly. $\square$

7. Isomorphisms

Let $\iota_0 = i_1, \cdots, i_L$ be a reduced longest word of $\mathfrak{g}$, $B^-_{\iota_0}$ as in Sect. 3 and $U^-_{\iota_0}$ as in Sect.4.

Theorem 7.1. *In case* $\mathfrak{g} = A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n$, *we have the isomorphism of geometric crystals* $B^-_{\iota_0} \cong U^-_{\iota_0}$ *by the rational map* Φ*:*

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Phi : & B^-_{\iota_0} & \longrightarrow & U^-_{\iota_0} \\ & Y_{\iota_0}(A_1, \cdots, A_L) & \mapsto & y_{\iota_0}(a_1, \cdots, a_L) \end{array}$$

where $a_j = \Phi_j(A) = (A_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i_j}} \cdots A_{j-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{j-1},i_j}} A_j)^{-1}$ *for* $A = (A_1, \cdots, A_L) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^L$.

Proof. First, we shall see that Φ is birational. For the longest element $w_0 \in W$, let L be its length. For j, k with $1 \leq j < k \leq L$, set

$$P_{j,k} := \{m = (m_1, \cdots, m_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t | j < m_1 < \cdots < m_t < k,\ 0 \leq t \leq k - j\}.$$

For $m = (m_1, \cdots, m_t) \in P_{j,k}$, set $l(m) := t$. We understand that $m = \emptyset$ if $t = 0$. For j, k with $1 \leq j < k \leq L$, set

$$M_{j,k} := \sum_{m \in M_{j,k}} (-1)^{l(t)} \mathbf{a}_{i_j,i_{m_1}} \mathbf{a}_{i_{m_1},i_{m_2}} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{i_{m_t},i_k},$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{i,j}$ is an (i, j)-entry of the Cartan matrix. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathfrak{a}_{p,q})_{p,q=1,\cdots,L}$ be an integer matrix defined by

$$\mathfrak{a}_{p,q} := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } p = q, \\ -\mathbf{a}_{i_p,i_q} & \text{if } p < q, \\ 0 & \text{if } p > q. \end{cases}$$

It is trivial that the matrix $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible and its inverse is also an integer matrix, denoted by $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{b}_{p,q})_{p,q=1,\cdots,L}$, which is indeed given by

$$\mathbf{b}_{j,k} := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } p = q, \\ M_{i_p,i_q} & \text{if } p < q, \\ 0 & \text{if } p > q. \end{cases}$$

Then, we easily know that the following rational map Ψ is an inverse of Φ:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Psi : & U^-_{\iota_0} & \longrightarrow & B^-_{\iota_0} \\ & y_{\iota_0}(a_1, \cdots, a_L) & \mapsto & Y_{\iota_0}(A_1, \cdots, A_L) \end{array} \tag{7.1}$$

where $A_j = \Psi_j(a) = a_1^{\mathbf{b}_{1,j}} \cdots a_{j-1}^{\mathbf{b}_{j-1,j}} a_j^{-1}$ for $a = (a_1 \cdots, a_L) \in \mathbb{C}^L$.

Next, let us see $\varepsilon_i(\Phi_{\iota_0}(Y(A))) = \varepsilon_i(Y_{\iota_0}(A))$ for $Y_{\iota_0}(A) = Y_{\iota_0}(A_1, \cdots, A_L)$. As in 4.3, we have

$$\varepsilon_i(y_{\iota_0}(a_1, \cdots, a_L)) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq L,\, i_j = i} a_j. \tag{7.2}$$

We also have the explicit form of $\varepsilon_i(Y_{\iota_0}(A))$ as in (3.2),

$$\varepsilon_i(Y_{\iota_0}(A_1, \cdots, A_L)) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq L, i_j = i} \frac{1}{A_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i}} \cdots A_{j-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{j-1},i}} A_j} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq L, i_j = i} \Phi_j(A). \tag{7.3}$$

Thus, by these formula we have $\varepsilon_i(\Phi(Y(A_1, \cdots, A_L))) = \varepsilon_i(Y(A_1, \cdots, A_L))$.
Next, let us show that $\Phi \circ e^c_i = e^c_i \circ \Phi$. Set $e^c_i \circ \Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A)) = y_{\iota_0}(a') = y_{\iota_0}(a'_1, \cdots, a'_L)$. By the formula in 4.4, we obtain

$$a'_j = \frac{\Phi_j(A)}{L^{(i)}_{m(j)-1}(\Phi(A); c)^{\mathbf{a}_{i,i_j}}} \left(\frac{L^{(i)}_{m(j)-1}(\Phi(A); c)}{L^{(i)}_{m(j)}(\Phi(A); c)} \right)^{\delta_{i,i_j}}, \tag{7.4}$$

where $\{j_1,\cdots,j_l\}$ is same as in 4.4 and $m(j)$ is the number m such that $j_{m-1}<j\le j_m$.

For $Y_{\iota_0}(A)=Y_{\iota_0}(A_1,\cdots,A_L)$ set $e_i^c(Y_{\iota_0}(A)):=Y_{\iota_0}(A'_1,\cdots,A'_L)$. Each A'_j is given explicitly by (3.3). Denote the numerator of (3.3) by $Q_j(c_1,\cdots,c_m;c)$. Thus, the denominator is $Q_{j-1}(c_1\cdots,c_m;c)$ and

$$A'_j=A_j\frac{Q_j(A_1,\cdots,A_L;c)}{Q_{j-1}(A_1,\cdots,A_L;c)}.$$

Note that $Q_j(A;c)=Q_{j-1}(A;c)$ unless $i_j=i$. Let us calculate $y_{\iota_0}(a''):=\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A'))$.
The case $i_j=i$ $(j=j_m)$:

$$\begin{aligned}a''_j&=\Phi_j(A')=({A'}_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i_j}}\cdots {A'}_{j-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{j-1},i_j}}A'_j)^{-1}\\&=(A_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i_j}}\cdots A_{j-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{j-1},i_j}}A_j)^{-1}\left(\frac{Q_{j_0}(A;c)}{Q_{j_1}(A;c)}\right)^2\cdots\left(\frac{Q_{j_{m-2}}(A;c)}{Q_{j_{m-1}}(A;c)}\right)^2\left(\frac{Q_{j_{m-1}}(A;c)}{Q_{j_m}(A;c)}\right)\\&=\frac{\Phi_j(A)}{Q_{j_{m-1}}(A;c)Q_{j_m}(A;c)},\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{j_0}(A;c)=1$.

The case $i_j\neq i$ $(j_{m-1}<j<j_m)$:

$$\begin{aligned}a''_j&=\Phi_j(A')=({A'}_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i_j}}\cdots {A'}_{j-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{j-1},i_j}}A'_j)^{-1}\\&=(A_1^{\mathbf{a}_{i_1,i_j}}\cdots A_{j-1}^{\mathbf{a}_{i_{j-1},i_j}}A_j)^{-1}\left(\frac{Q_{j_0}(A;c)}{Q_{j_1}(A;c)}\right)^{\mathbf{a}_{i,i_j}}\cdots\left(\frac{Q_{j_{m-2}}(A;c)}{Q_{j_{m-1}}(A;c)}\right)^{\mathbf{a}_{i,i_j}}=\frac{\Phi_j(A)}{Q_{j_{m-1}}(A;c)^{\mathbf{a}_{i,i_j}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we can easily see that if $i=i_{j_m}$,

$$Q_{j_m}(A;c)=L_m(\Phi(A);c).$$

This means $a'_j=a''_j$ and then $e_i^c\circ\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A))=\Phi\circ e_i^c(Y_{\iota_0}(A))$.

Finally, let us show that $\gamma_i\circ\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A))=\gamma_i(Y_{\iota_0}(A))$. Since for $y\in U^-$ we have

$$\gamma_j(y)=\prod_{i=1}^n F_i^{(n)}(y)^{-\mathbf{a}_{i,j}},$$

and the explicit form of $F_i^{(n)}(y)$ as in Proposition 6.1, we use case-by-case method for our purpose.

The B_n-case: Denoting $\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A))$ by $y_{\iota_0}(a)$ we have

$$a_{i,j}=\begin{cases}\dfrac{\displaystyle\prod_{k=1}^{i}A_{k,j-1}\prod_{k=1}^{i-1}A_{k,j+1}\prod_{k=1}^{i-1}\overline{A}_{k,j-1}\prod_{k=1}^{i-1}\overline{A}_{k,j+1}}{\displaystyle A_{i,j}\prod_{k=1}^{i-1}(A_{k,j}\overline{A}_{k,j})^2} & 1\le i\le j\le n-1,\\[2ex] \dfrac{\displaystyle\prod_{k=1}^{i}A_{k,n-1}\prod_{k=1}^{i-1}\overline{A}_{k,n-1}}{\displaystyle A_{i,n}\prod_{k=1}^{i-1}A_{k,n}^2} & 1\le i\le j=n,\end{cases}\tag{7.5}$$

$$\overline{a}_{i,j}=\frac{\displaystyle\prod_{k=1}^{i}A_{k,j-1}\prod_{k=1}^{i}A_{k,j+1}\prod_{k=1}^{i-1}\overline{A}_{k,j-1}\prod_{k=1}^{i}\overline{A}_{k,j+1}}{\displaystyle\overline{A}_{i,j}\prod_{k=1}^{i}A_{k,j}^2\prod_{k=1}^{i-1}\overline{A}_{k,j}^2}\qquad 1\le i\le j\le n-1,\tag{7.6}$$

where $A_{k,j} = 1$ for $k > j$ and $A_{k,n} = \overline{A}_{k,n}$. We shall show the explicit form of $F_i^{(n)}(\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A)))$ as follows:

$$F_i^{(n)}(\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A))) = \prod_{m=1}^{i} \frac{1}{A_{m,i}\overline{A}_{m,i}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \tag{7.7}$$

$$F_n^{(n)}(\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A))) = \prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{A_{m,n}}. \tag{7.8}$$

Set $q_l(a) := (\overline{a}_{l,l} \cdots \overline{a}_{l,i-1})^2 (\overline{a}_{l,i} \cdots \overline{a}_{l,n-1}) a_{1,n}^2 (a_{l,n-1} \cdots a_{l,i})$ $(1 \leq l \leq i)$ and we have

$$F_i^{(n)}(y_{\iota_0}(a)) = q_1(a) \cdots q_i(a) \quad (i < n).$$

By calculating directly, we get

$$q_l(\Phi(A)) = \frac{A_{l,i}\overline{A}_{l,i}}{A_{l,l}^2 \overline{A}_{l,l}^2} \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{A_{k,l-1}^2 \overline{A}_{k,l-1}^2}{A_{k,l}^2 \overline{A}_{k,l}^2}, \tag{7.9}$$

and then (7.7). We also get (7.8) by the similar way. The C_n-case is obtained similarly. So let us see the case $i = n-1, n$ of type D_n. If n is odd, by Proposition 6.1, we have

$$F_n^{(n)}(y_{\iota_0(a)}) = \left(\prod_{1 \leq k \leq j < n-1} \overline{a}_{k,j} \right) \cdot (a_{1,n-1}\overline{a}_{2,n-1} \cdots \overline{a}_{n-1,n-1}).$$

Set $a = \Phi(A)$. For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2$, $a_{i,j} = \Phi(A)_{i,j}$ and $\overline{a}_{i,j} = \overline{\Phi(A)}_{i,j}$ are same as (7.5) and (7.6). For $1 \leq i \leq j = n-1$, we have

$$a_{i,n-1} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{i} A_{k,n-2} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} \overline{A}_{k,n-2}}{A_{i,n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} A_{k,n-1}^2}, \qquad a_{i,n-1} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{i} A_{k,n-2} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} \overline{A}_{k,n-2}}{\overline{A}_{i,n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} \overline{A}_{k,n-1}^2}. \tag{7.10}$$

Then, by calculating directly we have

$$F_n^{(n)}(\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A))) = (\overline{A}_{1,n-1} \cdots \overline{A}_{n-1,n-1})^{-1}.$$

The case for even n is obtained similarly. We have

$$F_{n-1}^{(n)}(\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A))) = (A_{1,n-1} \cdots A_{n-1,n-1})^{-1}.$$

Since $\gamma_{n-1}(Y_{\iota_0}(A)) = (A_{1,n-1} \cdots A_{n-1,n-1})^{-1}$ and $\gamma_n(Y_{\iota_0}(A)) = (\overline{A}_{1,n-1} \cdots \overline{A}_{n-1,n-1})^{-1}$, we know that $\gamma_i(Y_{\iota_0}(A)) = \gamma_i(\Phi(Y_{\iota_0}(A)))$ and completed the proof of Theorem 7.1. □

Since $B_{\iota_0}^-$ (resp. $U_{\iota_0}^-$) is birationally equivalent to the flag variety X (resp. unipotent radical $U^- \subset B^-$), we have the following:

Corollary 7.2. *There exists an isomorphism of geometric crystals:* $X \cong U^-$.

8. Conjectures

For a Weyl group element $w \in W$, let $\iota = i_1 \cdots i_k$ be a reduced word of w. Set $U_\iota^- := \{y_{i_1}(c_1) \cdots y_{i_k}(c_k) | c_1, \cdots, c_k \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$. Let $u_w^{(i)}$ be the normalized extremal weight vector with the extremal weight $w\Lambda_i$ in $L(\Lambda_i)$ and define the function on U_ι^- by

$$F_{(i,\iota)}^{(n)}(y) := \langle y \cdot u_{\Lambda_i}, u_w^{(i)} \rangle \quad (y \in U_\iota^-,\ i \in I).$$

Here we present the following conjecture:

Conjecture. *If G is semi-simple and $I = I(w)$ (see Sect.3.), we can associate a geometric crystal structure with U_ι^- and it is isomorphic to the geometric crystal on the Schubert variety $\overline{X}_w$ for any $w \in W$.*

For a reduced word ι, set $B_\iota^- := \{Y_{i_1}(c_1)\cdots Y_{i_k}(c_k)|c_1,\cdots,c_k \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$ $(Y_i(c) = y_i(\frac{1}{c})\alpha_i^\vee(c))$ and let $(B_\iota^-, \{e_i\}, \{\gamma_i\}, \{\varepsilon_i\})$ be the geometric crystal isomorphic to $\overline{X}_w$ as in Sect.3 (see also [**7**]). To show the conjecture, we should obtain that $U_\iota^- \cong B_\iota^-$ as geometric crystals.

References

[1] Berenstein A. and Kazhdan D., Geometric crystals and Unipotent crystals, GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv,1999), Geom. Funct. Anal. 2000, Special Volume, Part I, 188–236.

[2] Kac V.G., Infinite dimensional Lie algebras 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press.

[3] Kashiwara M., Nakashima T. and Okado M., Affine geometric crystals and limit of perfect crystals, math.QA/0512657.

[4] Kumar S., Kac-Moody groups, their Flag varieties and Representation Theory, Progress in Mathematics 204, Birkhauser Boston, 2002.

[5] Kac V.G. and Peterson D.H., Defining relations of certain infinite-dimensional groups; in "Arithmetic and Geometry"(Artin M.,Tate J.,eds), 141–166, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, (1983).

[6] Peterson D.H., and Kac V.G., Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorems, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.USA, **80**, 1778–1782, (1983).

[7] Nakashima T., Geometric crystals on Schubert varieties, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **53**,197–225 (2005).

[8] Nakashima T., Geometric crystals on unipotent groups and generalized Young tableaux, Journal of Algebra, **293**, (2005), no.1, 65–88.

[9] Nakashima, T., Affine geometric crystal of type $G_2^{(1)}$, Lie algebras, vertex operator algebras and their applications, 179–192, Contemp. Math., 442, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

[10] Nakashima, T., Universal Tropical R map of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and Prehomogeneous Geometric Crystals, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu B11, 101–116, (2009).

[11] Nakashima T., Epsilon Systems on Geometric Crystals of type A_n, arXiv:0911.3562.

[12] Slodowy P., On the geometry of Schubert varieties attached to Kac-Moody Lie algebras, Can.Math.Soc.Conf.Proc. on 'Algebraic geometry' (Vancouver) **6**, 405–442, (1986).

M.I.: Department of Mathematics, Sophia University, Kioicho 7-1, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan

E-mail address: `mana-i@hoffman.cc.sophia.ac.jp`

T.N.: Department of Mathematics, Sophia University, Kioicho 7-1, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan

E-mail address: `toshiki@mm.sophia.ac.jp, toshiki@sophia.ac.jp`